From: "Coffey, Scott" < CoffeySE@cdmsmith.com>

To: "Zhen, Davis" <Zhen.Davis@epa.gov>

CC: "Vickstrom, Kyle E." <vickstromke@cdmsmith.com>

"Miner, Libby" <minerl@cdmsmith.com>

"Stuesse, Gregory L." <stuessegl@cdmsmith.com>

"John Kern" (b) (6)

"Sheldrake, Sean" <sheldrake.sean@epa.gov>

younghs@cdmsmith.com

Date: 5/18/2018 10:31:27 AM

Subject: Potential Issue - Pre-RD Group initiating sediment sampling in Upstream Reaches without

providing EPA documentation on site locations and selection information

Hi Davis.

Sorry to bother you about this, but I think the Pre-RD Group may be on the verge of violating an agreement that had them providing an FSP addendum showing the randomized locations based on their newest reconnaissance data for EPA review and approval before initiating sampling in the upriver reaches.

I've just been informed by one of my oversight staff (see message below) that the field staff plan to move upstream of the Site today and start collecting samples. We should not allow that to happen until they've provided EPA the package promised and time for us to review it. This is included as an action item in the Porewater meeting notes that Ken provided today:

Meeting Notes from May 3, 2018 - Porewater Sampling Locations and Redox; To: Davis Zhen EPA, From: Pre-RD AOC Group

Summary of Action Items, last bullet

☐ AECOM/Geosyntec submit FSP addendum to the Surface Sediment FSP for revised sediment sampling locations based on areas of observed fine-grained sediment.

This submittal and review should delay progress as I understand there are other locations within the Site, specifically the RM 5.5 to 6.8 area, that they could move to and collect samples, but it will likely require support from their subcontractor (NRC) for sheen management. I did hear Ken state that they do have NRC contracted and ready for this.

Feel free to give me a call to get clarification, but Ken should let the field crew know that they cannot proceed into the upstream areas yet until they have provided their documentation and rationale to EPA (and some time for review /approval) for the upstream locations.

Scott

From: Miner, Libby

Sent: Friday, May 18, 2018 9:32 AM

To: Coffey, Scott <CoffeySE@cdmsmith.com>; Stuesse, Gregory L. <stuessegl@cdmsmith.com>

Subject: Question about Bin 4 conditions

Hi Scott and Greg,

We are moving upriver to where there are hard sediment conditions. Geosyntec asked me to confirm that in the instance of three attempts pulling up gravel, its acceptable to move onto the alternate location. They wanted clarification because all other scenarios require six attempts.

Thanks, Libby

